US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5

Field Report for site visit 04.21.10 Chemetco Inc., Hartford, IL Superfund site EPA ID: ILD048843809

Purpose: The purpose of this inspection is to identify nearby potential receptors to a release, identify grossly hazardous material stored on-site (besides slag, sludge, etc.), assess current storm water management systems that control releases to sensitive wetlands, and assess security that seals the site and helps manage exposure to workers and trespassers. Assess security system, assess stormwater system, assess sanitary system, observe estate grounds outside of facility site and locate nearest residences/receptors, assess bulk hw/fuel storage including observe inside of Foundry & former 90-d Storage Blgs for accumulated materials estimation, communicate about Site Contingency Plan with site environmental manager.

Tasks: Met with Gary Davis, Eric Watt and discussed Security Plan, Contingency Plan and how they may be updated. Info was gathered on state of components of security, stormwater, sanitary system; adjacent land owners and tenant farmer leases, land uses; fuel storage capacities.

Procedures: Security system:

Cameras, motion sensors, alarms, lighting, 6 ft fencing with 3 lines of barb wire, site access logs, chains, locks, barriers, ILEPA seal order. Cameras save video 15 d, system is DVR capable. Fence on N, E sides is overgrown, shielded by dense vegetation. On the E side it is breached in 3 distinct places totaling nearly ~40 ft. The Estate is in the process of working out procedures for seal order access with IAD. I suggested these procedures be attached to the Security Plan. Repairs are needed on one of the 9 cameras, such as electrical fuse and a manlift to get to it. At night the W gate and parking lot are lit at night, and the lock lights shine on the S, E side of the Tank House. Motion detectors are tied to loud, obnoxious horns in lack of light. The motion and door sensors are fully functioning. According to Estate personnel, most trespassers enter from the south and east sides of the facility perimeter. Barriers are located at various points on Chemetco Ln. south of the facility to impede vehicle access. A farm access drive exists at the NE corner of the facility from New Poag Rd. The Madison County Sheriff passes by/through the property once a night. May 6, 2010 was another incident of trespassing/theft reported by Estate personnel. A map was made of security system components. Emergency preparedness:

Discussed components and status of Fire System with staff, went over Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures document. I suggested gather and centralize MDSDs for active and legacy chemicals on site to facilitate hazard identification in case of emergency. Estate plans to update CP at start of demo work to include location of MSDSs, quantities of hw/fuel onsite, document onsite fire system. A HASP will be submitted if the demo plan is approved. Another HASP is needed for the pilot work. The questions was raised if underground fire lines west of Foundry dock will be affected by demolition. Recommended the fire system be checked and O&M of this system be incorporated into O&M plan. This system needs manual trigger and electricity to work and is run out of the Pump House. Discussed first aid training levels. Encouraged update of CP sent to local responders if demo work proceeds.

Hw/Fuel storage:

Fuel is stored primarily in the above ground containment area between the Maintenance Shop and the Admin Bldg. 2° containment intact, no leaks observed. Empty tank 2500 gal. gas located at former ZnO pits. Closed, removed c. 1989 UST 2000 gal. located between fuel

storage area and maintenance shop. Six 33 lb. cylinders of propane are stored at the NE corner of the Maintenance Shop. Other storage includes 250 gal. tank of kerosene, one-1000 gal. tank of used oil, and 2-2500 gal. diesel tanks of which there is ~500 gal. of actual fuel, and <10 hydraulic systems onsite generally inside bldgs. One palette of 55-gal. drums of sulfuric acid is stored in the Maintenance Shop, 4-55 gal. drums of solvents in the baghouse control room, 1-55 gal. drum caustic in MS, 2-200 gal. caustic tanks in AAF area. One is empty steel, other ~200-500 gal. dilute in plastic. Also 2-3 gal. sulfuric in Estate lab, couple L causit, ~4 gal. hydrochloric acid. There is also a bench scale lab in the Admin Bldg. that Paradigm Minerals & Environmental Services is operating that to date hasn't been inspected & inventoried. Additionally in the NW corder of the site is an oxygen line no longer in use that may present a pressure hazard. Fuel storage capacity ~8750 gal onsite, ~1750 gal in use plus propane. A map was made of hw/fuel storage locations.

Sanitary System:

Sanitary system was traced from location of deep wells on east side of facility site to Red Tank on E wall of Foundry, to pumphouse and aeration units, sand filters, and final storage in Tank House addition. Water pressure delivery to taps is 45-65 psi via utility room. No potable water onsite. Septic system collects solids underground while liquids are run through Norweco system that aerates and chlorinates. System was designed for ~120 ppl load. System hasn't been serviced since 2001 and Estate is in process of procuring service. The second Norweco system by south fence is proposed for demolition. Data from IL State Water Survey was provided on depth of deep wells, only one of which is in use (West). The Estate claimed they submitted a ground water monitoring plan to ILEPA in 2008 and await approval on that plan.

Stormwater System:

Storm water system was inspected in detail and a separate field inspection report and map was generated in the field (report attached). The 6" return line from near the E canal to the retention basin was broken in two places as observed and covered in numerous spots by fallen branches. The retention basin is lined. In the AAF area there are 3 closed-loop systems, the foundry loop, the casing loop, and the AAF loop. The proposed demolition plan modifies the existing system to better manage storm water during demolition.

Estate grounds:

Dave Mueller is the tenant farmer who leases all available Estate land for corn farming. Use of corn undetermined. Soils in the corn fields looked normal upon visual inspection (i.e., no visible slags). There are some residents north of the facility off New Poag Rd. The houses at the very southern border of the Estate are abandoned—they used to be for the former owner.

Follow up actions: Meet with OSC on removal consult May 17, 2010. Work with Estate to manage immediate hazards (trespassers/security, onsite workers/uncharacterized drums). Facilitate hw abatement and demolition of the Foundry to the extent possible, as this will further minimize immediate threats by extremely unstable structures that present risk to site workers. Keep working with Estate to ID further useful files left on site. Task Enforcement Services to be working on ILEPA CERCLA file review. Consider non-time critical action for stormwater system improvement—this is source control. Chemetco was HRS scored on the overland-flow/surface water migration pathway. Stopping the addition of contaminates to wetlands and tributary of Long Lake will mitigate additional risk in short and long term, and is consistent with long term remedial actions. Further assess need for source control re: fugitive dust.

Stormwater Construction Site Inspection Report

Storm	water Construction	Site Inspection	u Report			
	General Info	rmation -	是科技企業企工。			
Project Name	Estate of Chemetco, Inc.					
NPDES Tracking No.	IL0025747	Location	Hartford, IL			
Date of Inspection	04.21.10	Start/End Time	low			
Inspector's Name(s)	Michelle Kerr					
Inspector's Title(s)	Remedial Project Manager					
Inspector's Contact Information	312.886.8961, <u>kerr.michelle</u> 60604	e@epa.gov, 77 W. Ja	ckson Blvd. (SRF-6J), Chicago, IL			
Inspector's Qualifications	U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager delegated authority from CERCLA Section 115 via National Contingency Plan Subpart E					
Describe present phase of construction	Proposed demolition pl House under review by		uilding and interior of Tank			
Type of Inspection: Pre-storm event	☐ During storm event	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	/ent			
	Weather Info	rmation -				
Has there been a storm event since If yes, provide:	the last inspection? \(\text{Yes} \)	70/21				
Storm Start Date & Time: S	torm Duration (hrs):	Approximate /	Amount of Precipitation (in):			
Weather at time of this inspection? ☐ Clear ☐ Cloudy ☐ Rain ☐ Other:		wing □ High Win 7 <i>7c'F</i>	ds:			
Have any discharges occurred since If yes, describe:	The state of the s	and the second s				
If yes, describe: Are there any discharges at the tim If yes, describe:	ne of inspection? Pres	No to proby f	rom Scanols			

Site-specific BMPs

Number the structural and non-structural BMPs identified in your SWPPP on your site map and list them
below (add as many BMPs as necessary). Carry a copy of the numbered site map with you during your
inspections. This list will ensure that you are inspecting all required BMPs at your site.

Describe corrective actions initiated, date completed, and note the person that completed the work in the

<u> </u>	Corrective Action	n Log.		
	Febre	Installed?	Maintenance Required?	Action Needed and Notes
1	Sanitary system	☐Yes ☐No	☐Yes ☐No	See refetal notes
2	W Connecting lines canal	Yes ONo	☐Yes ☐No	undergrand
3	West canal	☑Yes ☐No	□Yes □No	
4	NW sump & pump	☑Yes □No	□Yes □No	Leval Brook, Small office
5	Connecting line N sump	☐Yes ☐No	☐Yes ☐No	undergrand
6	N sump	☐Yes ☐No	☐Yes ☐No	sund of ma pit
7	N valve vault	⊟Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	3 aims Johnt I'm not in parcetion
8	N Lagoon	1		
9	NE perimeter canal	DYes DNo	☐Yes ☐No	rege whom, undergrad fight
	Niconal	Y	t	ditch one grown, visible, bein ed behind bu

Scrubben 1/20 come from port

	Feature	Installed?	Maintenance	Action Needed and Notes
			Required?	村村 (1995年) 1995年 199
10	N line 10" pipe N,E lagoons	DYes □No	☐Yes ☐No	not in use
11	N perimtr canal slag mtn	TYes DNo	☐Yes ☐No	Suited of by ditch + veg
12	NW line to check valve	⊠Yes □No	□Yes □No	undergrand of The opposition
13	NW perimtr canal slag	Yes \(\square\) No	☐Yes ☐No	A. A.
	mtn			undiagrand not a operation detale
14	SE corner pump	ØYes □No	☐Yes ☐No	int in use.
15	S Lagoon	V		
16	Line to pump house, E,W lagoons	□Yes □No	□Yes □No	enminter graphice the work of the wish
17	Pump House	☐Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	van like pumps usually only
18	W Lagoon	V		
19	E perimeter canal	OYYes □No	☐Yes ☐No	bernet & cornfield
20	EW valve vault	12 Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	Stransmeter 450 comes out) sixe belows in use
21	E Lagoon	V		
22_	EW check valve	☑Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	- proposed med tredemo to reverse How inclaying
23	Line to SE sump& pump	DYes DNo	□Yes □No	undergrand in use
24	SE sump & pump	QYes □No	□Yes □No	not in service, stagment detries
25	SE perimeter canal	ElYes □No	☐Yes ☐No	pipe entry @ SE corne
26	SE canal	DerYes □No	□Yes □No	no Had
27	S perimeter canal	☐Yes ☐No	□Yes □No	concerte-bied empty
28	SW sump & pump	☐Yes ☐No	☐Yes ☐No	operation
. 29	SW canal	¥Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	eval and beach oreiften falls inter
30	CLS drains	□Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	7
31	CLS N pond	□Yes □No	□Yes □No	Gernor
32	CLS S pond	☐Yes ☐No	□Yes □No	
33	CLS lines	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No	□Yes □No	N d 1 10 1 bely suisite use
34	Line perimeter system to basin		□Yes □No	A viewel broke inside & tence, cheme, in
35	Basin	©Pres □No	□Yes □No	Lower H20 level than 3/2/10
36	Outfall #5	☐Yes ☐No	☐Yes ☐No	Not usited
	Marinight via	The water		
	Socorber dump la	ue to promp	time.	stope Then underground
	Swimpool			pupe discharge the craft from Samuel
	Vales well pring		inuse	word fir no - pot How cap . 700 gillmin 150 17 day
L	a die well pring		runto-2006	laboundered, lactive 50 gg/min 50 ft "
	pipe to retention but	. v	٠.	busted - Hzo would need to be pumped
	Overall Site Lect	tank - 10,500	mall Hardstore	as before sand & Herst studie but strang - 1001 160
	BMP/a-tivity	Implemented?	Maintenance	Action Needed and Notes
	到我的情态是此 。		Required?	
11.	Sprayers in use?	Yes INo	☐Yes ☐No	1-110
	·	-		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
	A	Div. On	My Chi	
2	Are perimeter controls	¥Yes □No	A Yes □No	permater bernedon N, E goden
1	and sediment barriers adequately installed	1	,	
	(keyed into substrate,	·		
	tiles) maintained?	•		
1 1	птој нашашей:		ļ	
3	Drains?	Yes ONo	XYes ONo	
	J. 10110.	- 4:00 m.10	7.3	
	:			
				·

	BMP/activity	Implemented?	Maintenance	Action Needed and Notes
			Required?	
4	Are discharge points and receiving waters free of any sediment deposits?	□Yes □No	□Yes □No	Not inspected other than as nited -all would need maintenance
5	A	☐Yes ☐No	□Yes □No	to rain hear machifehance
3	Are storm drain inlets properly protected?			
6	Is the construction exit/entry preventing sediment from being tracked into the street?	□Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	
7	Is trash/litter from work areas collected and placed in covered dumpsters?	□Yes No	☐Yes ☐No	
8:	Are decon available, clearly marked, and maintained?	OYes ANo	□Yes □No	
9	Are vehicle and equipment fueling, cleaning, and maintenance areas free of spills, leaks, or any other deleterious material?	XYes QNo	□Yes □No	
10	Are materials that are potential stormwater contaminants stored inside or under cover?	□Yes QNo	□Yes □No	
11	Are non-stormwater discharges (e.g., wash water, dewatering) properly controlled?	Yes UNo	☐Yes ☐No	
12	(Other)	□Yes □No	☐Yes ☐No	M&

Notes				
				
	CERTIFICATI	ON STATEME	NT	
"I certify under penalty of lar supervision in accordance wi the information submitted. B directly responsible for gathe belief, true, accurate, and con	h a system designed to assu used on my inquiry of the poring the information, the inf	re that qualified erson or persons v formation submitt	personnel properly who manage the sy ted is, to the best o	gathered and evaluated stem, or those persons f my knowledge and
including the possibility of fi				ang raise mormation,
Print name and title:	M. Ken	<u>USEP.</u> 3	RM	
				4-11
Signature:	·		Date:	# 5/10/10

